



Trailheads, Access and Parking

The Master Plan will identify designated points for people to access the trail. We want to provide enough so that people won't try to access the trail through private driveways. Is trail access appropriate in this section of the trail right-of-way? If so, what and where are the appropriate access locations?

- No appropriate access in this section of trail

What kind of amenities should be located at access points? Should the amenities be different when access is provided from off-street parking?

- None noted

The Master Plan will identify a combination of on-street and off-street parking along the length of the trail. What route do you anticipate local residents will take to access the trail? What parking options do you think would work best in this section of the trail right-of-way?

- No appropriate area for parking in this section of trail

Trail Crossings, Amenities and Uses

Where the trail crosses a street or driveway, there is a potential conflict between those who are on the trail and those who are on the street or driveway. What trail crossings are potentially unsafe for residents in this section? What can be done to improve safety at trail crossing locations?

- Trail bisects private property (yards) at many points in this area—property owners are concerned about ongoing conflicts with trail users

The number of property owners using a vehicle crossing, the stacking room available between the trail and the roadway, vehicle and user speed (depends on distance available to build speed), and sight distance are all considerations used in determining who should have the right-of-way. Given these considerations, who do you think should have the right-of-way at each of the roadway crossings in this section of the trail?

- Cars should have right-of-way

The Master Plan will provide for amenities such as restrooms, benches, public open space, interpretative signs and litter receptacles at certain locations along the length of the trail. Are there other amenities that should be considered? Which make sense in this section of the trail, and where should they be located?

- Amenities aren't appropriate in this section of trail

Are you aware of any lore, legends or local history about your neighborhood that would be interesting and appropriate to note along the trail?

- None noted

What special considerations should be given to locating amenities along this section of the trail?

- Amenities aren't appropriate in this section of trail



A significant element of the Master Plan is planning for different types of users. Different uses require different trail standards, as summarized below:

- 1) Pedestrians
- 2) Non-motorized wheeled activities
- 3) Equestrians
- 4) All uses require a trail safely separated from existing vehicle routes

Which of these uses, given their spatial requirements and existing adjacent land uses, seem feasible in this section of the trail?

- No public use in this section of trail

Separating Public and Private Uses

Different edge treatments can be used to separate trail uses from private uses. Fencing, vegetation, and signage are techniques we have identified to date. Can you think of others?

- None noted

To determine what type of delineation is appropriate, consideration should be given to the following:

- 1) Security and privacy for the adjacent property owners
- 2) Safety and aesthetics for trail users
- 3) Protecting natural resources and wildlife corridors

At this time, what kind of edge treatments do you think are appropriate for this section of the trail? Where should the edge treatment be located in relation to the proposed trail?

- No fencing or vegetation—safety and aesthetics would be diminished
- Any edge treatment in this section of trail would be detrimental to protection of natural resources and wildlife—especially existing wetlands and streams

Trail Alignments

Under what conditions should the trail be routed off the rail bed?

- Trail should be relocated where it bisects private property
- At some points, the trail runs within 15 feet of private homes—this raises big concern about privacy and security
- Trail alignment along rail bed in this section would harm salmon, stream flows, waterways and would decrease safety and security of children
- Participants would cooperate with King County to help facilitate construction of a trail adjacent to the Parkway and off the rail bed

Are there places in this section of the trail where these conditions exist? After reviewing the enclosed Class 1 Trail Standards, can you think of a potentially feasible off rail bed trail alignment in this section that you believe could meet Class 1 trail standards and mitigate the conditions you identified? Please explain.

- Trail re-route should follow plan specified in the *Cottingham Plan, 1986*—the plan provides sufficient space and meets Class 1 Trail Guidelines



East Lake Sammamish Trail
March 29, 2000 Neighborhood Workshop
Green Team Notes
Figure 6 and 7 maps

General Comments

- Participants concerned that King County won't acknowledge/respect their property rights
- Participants do not want interim trail